Family Engagement in Research: A Conversation Guide



Family Engagement in Research (FER) is a term used to describe a specific kind of research that:

- Recognizes families as key partners in designing, planning, and carrying out a research project;
- ✔ Provides an opportunity for family partners and researchers to each benefit from being part of the leadership team of a research project;
- ✓ Values all perspectives from families and researchers;
- ✓ Emphasizes collaborative work to add value to research being done with families;
- ✓ Relies on meaningful conversations about what the partnership will look like; and
- ✓ Empowers everyone to be able to participate in impactful research.



Researchers and family partners in research: This tool can guide a conversation around roles and expectations throughout a research project, from start to finish.

Families are encouraged to participate in any and all stages of the research process **Researchers** can support family partners' skill development and engagement in each stage



*Stages: 1) Plan 2) Recruit 3) Do the study 4) Analyze 5) Disseminate 6) Evaluate

- This conversation is intended to be revisited at each stage and throughout the project as needed
- Often, these stages can take place concurrently and/or out of order
- Discussing expectations can maximize family partner input and add value for everyone!







Family Engagement in Research: A Conversation Guide

Stage 1: Plan the Study

- What perspective do you already bring to this study as a family/parent?
- Are there any perspectives that are missing? If so, will we recruit more family partners? How?
- What would a really successful project look like?
- What time commitment are families and researchers willing to put into this project?
- What are the expectations for the researchers? This project?
- Who will be involved in later stages? How?
- Who will be responsible for scheduling regular check-in meetings?
- Is the study design for this population realistic and doable?
- How will we plan for barriers or hiccups?
- What do family partners already know about ethics? How can we fill in any gaps in ethical training (e.g. ethics training)?
- What is our dissemination plan?

Examples

- Co-design a set of skills or perspective matrix to ensure all necessary perspectives are included in research team (e.g. different disability types, provinces, lived experience perspectives)
- Co-design terms of reference for research team with two researcher and family partner co-leads to clarify commitments





Stage 2: Recruit & Retain Participants

- How would family partners like to contribute at this stage?
- Is the method of recruitment of this population suitable?
- What do we think of this method of advertising? Is this attractive to the population of interest?
- Do we feel these areas of recruitment are realistic and sufficient?
- Who is responsible for distributing recruitment materials?
 How?
- Do family partners have any specific networks or connections to help with recruitment?

Examples



- Co-develop study recruitment materials
- Family partners provide access to closed family Facebook groups
- Consult family partners on what variation in perspectives would look like and how to identify these diverse perspectives (e.g. identify rural and Northern Canadian participants)



Stage 3: Do the Study

- How are we working together to collect data?
- What are our thoughts on the data collection methods for this population (e.g. family partner feedback on interview guide or feedback on survey instrument used)?
- Are family partners comfortable collecting data for this study (e.g. interviews, leading focus groups etc.)?
- What are we doing to help family partners feel more comfortable with their role in data collection?

Examples

- Family partners provide input and revisions to study interview guide for participants
- One family partner is interested in helping with interviewing families—family partner takes ethics training and conducts interviews with family participants



Stage 4: Analyze the Results

- Do family partners need any training for their role in analysing results?
- From a family partner perspective of lived experience, do the results make sense?
- Are we incorporating both researcher and family perspectives into these results?
- What have we missed in these results?

Examples

- Family partners review literature discovered during searches, identify relevant documents that are missing but that are relevant and important to families.
- Themes and findings from interviews are discussed with family partners and additional context on significance of key themes identified is provided (e.g. why a specific program is not available in a city)



Stage 5: Disseminate the Results



Your Conversation:



- How can family partners advise on the dissemination plan?
- How can family partners help with disseminating study results to knowledge users? What are the best avenues for dissemination?
- What are the key messages from this study?
- How can we make our findings more accessible to the different knowledge users?
- If you were a parent interested to learn about a study like this, how would you find information about it? Where would you look? How could we get our results there?

Examples

- Family partners help identify key knowledge users
 and the information that should be included in the
 final report (e.g. providing a plain language summary
 of information)
- Develop a Knowledge to Action Policy Dialogue together with researchers and family partners to deliver in a virtual environment

Stage 6: Evaluate the Study

- How do the family partners and researchers perceive that the study went?
- Did our roles match our expectations?
- What went well? What did not go well? What suggestions do we have for future studies?
- How can we enhance the experience of family partners?

Your Conversation:



Examples

- Researchers host a post-study meeting with family partners (questions sent out in advance) to brainstorm and reflect on what went well and lessons to improve our approach
- Co-develop a summary document of lessons learned by both researchers and family partners